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## Simple Basis Analysis (SBA) and Principal Components Analysis (PCA)

The formation of the principal components (PCs) basis and the simple basis (SB) in $k$ dimensions requires a $k \times k$ matrix that is nonnegative definite-that is, a matrix $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ with $\mathbf{v}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \mathbf{v}$ greater than or equal to 0 for any $k$-vector $\mathbf{v}$. In PCA, the matrix, denoted $\mathbf{G}$ instead of $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$, is a covariance matrix that typically corresponds to some data set consisting of $N$ vectors, $\mathbf{g}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{g}_{N}$, each of length $k$. If the vector $\mathbf{v}$ is chosen to yield a large value of $\mathbf{v}^{\prime} \mathbf{G v}$, then the transformed/projected data values, $\mathbf{v}^{\prime} \mathbf{g}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{v}^{\prime} \mathbf{g}_{N}$, will have a large variance. In SBA, the required $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ matrix is a simplicity matrix. A large value of the simplicity score $\mathbf{v}^{\prime} \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{v}$ indicates that $\mathbf{v}$ is simple.

Before explaining the general method for constructing the basis vectors in PCA and SBA, we will show how to write the simplicity measure in the article in terms of a matrix $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$. In the article, we define the simplicity of a vector $\mathbf{v}=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right)^{\prime}$ in terms of $D$ on the basis of first divided differences:

$$
D=\sum_{j=2}^{k} \frac{\left(v_{j}-v_{j-1}\right)^{2}}{t_{j}-t_{j-1}} .
$$

To write $D$ in terms of a matrix, first define the $(k-1) \times k$ difference matrix $\mathcal{D}$

$$
\mathcal{D}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
-1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 1 & \cdots & \vdots \\
\vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

and the $(k-1) \times(k-1)$ diagonal matrix $\mathcal{W}$

$$
\mathcal{W}=\operatorname{diag}\left\{\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)^{-1},\left(t_{3}-t_{2}\right)^{-1}, \ldots,\left(t_{k}-t_{k-1}\right)^{-1}\right\}
$$

Then one easily verifies that $\mathcal{D} \mathbf{v}=\left(v_{2}-v_{1}, v_{3}-v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k}-v_{k-1}\right)^{\prime}$ and

$$
D=(\mathcal{D} \mathbf{v})^{\prime} \mathcal{W} \mathcal{D} \mathbf{v}=\mathbf{v}^{\prime} \mathcal{D} \mathcal{W} \mathcal{D} \mathbf{v}
$$

We see that a large value of $D$ means that $\mathbf{v}$ is complex, so we can consider $D$ a complexity measure. We could proceed with a complexity measure, simply minimizing complexity instead of maximizing simplicity. However, we prefer using a simplicity measure, where large values of the measure mean that $\mathbf{v}$ is simple. To achieve this, we use a simplicity measure of the form $a-b D$, with positive $a$ and $b$ chosen to make $a \mathbf{v}^{\prime} \mathbf{v}-b D$ "nice" in some way. In the article, we define our simplicity measure with $a=4$ and $b=\min _{j}\left\{t_{j}-t_{j-1}\right\}$ :

$$
S=4 \mathbf{v}^{\prime} \mathbf{v}-\min _{j}\left\{t_{j}-t_{j-1}\right\} D .
$$

We can write $S$ as $\mathbf{v}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\Lambda v}$ using the $k \times k$ identity matrix $\mathbf{I}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
S & =4 \mathbf{v}^{\prime} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{v}-\min _{j}\left\{t_{j}-t_{j-1}\right\} \mathbf{v}^{\prime} \mathcal{D}^{\prime} \mathcal{W} \mathcal{D} \mathbf{v} \\
& =\mathbf{v}^{\prime}\left[4 \mathbf{I}-\min _{j}\left\{t_{j}-t_{j-1}\right\} \mathcal{D}^{\prime} \mathcal{W} \mathcal{D}\right] \mathbf{v} .
\end{aligned}
$$

How do we choose $a$ and $b$ ? The choice is just one of interpretability and can be left to the user. Certainly, we would want to choose $a$ and $b$ so that $S$ cannot be negative. Here, we have chosen just such an $a$ and $b$ using a theorem of Schatzman (2002) that states that $\sum_{j-2}^{k}\left(v_{j}-v_{j-1}\right)^{2} \leq 4 \mathbf{v}^{\prime} \mathbf{v}$ for any vector $\mathbf{v}$. For discussion of other simplicity measures and a general way of choosing $a$ and $b$, see Zhang et al. (2014).

Given a $\mathbf{G}$ matrix and a $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ matrix, both the PC basis vectors and the SB vectors are defined sequentially and can be computed by an appropriate eigenanalysis. The first vector $\mathbf{v}_{1}$ in the PC basis is defined as the vector of length 1 that
maximizes $\mathbf{v}^{\prime} \mathbf{G v}$. We say that $\mathbf{v}_{1}$ points in the direction of maximum variability in the data vectors. The first vector $\mathbf{w}_{1}$ in the SB is defined as the vector of length 1 that maximizes $\mathbf{w}^{\prime} \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{w}$. We call $\mathbf{w}_{1}$ the simplest vector. The second PC basis vector, $\mathbf{v}_{2}$, is the vector that maximizes $\mathbf{v}^{\prime} \mathbf{G v}$ over all $\mathbf{v}^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ of length 1 that are perpendicular to $\mathbf{v}_{1}$. The third PC basis vector, $\mathbf{v}_{3}$, is the vector that maximizes $\mathbf{v}^{\prime} \mathbf{G v}$ over all $\mathbf{v}$ 's of length 1 that are perpendicular to both $\mathbf{v}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{v}_{2}$. Similarly, the second SB vector $\mathbf{w}_{2}$ maximizes $\mathbf{w}^{\prime} \mathbf{\lambda} \mathbf{w}$ over all $\mathbf{w}$ 's of length 1 that are perpendicular to $\mathbf{w}_{1}$. We say that $\mathbf{w}_{2}$ is the simplest vector perpendicular to $\mathbf{w}_{1}$. The construction of the set of basis vectors continues in this way.

The two resulting sets of $k$ basis vectors are simply eigenvectors of the corresponding matrix ( $\mathbf{G}$ or $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ ) and thus are easily computed. Furthermore, the eigenvalues of $\mathbf{G}$ are equal to the variances of the transformed data values, and the eigenvalues of $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ are equal to the simplicity measures of the SB vectors.

In addition to the PC basis and the SB, we consider a mixed basis consisting of the first $m$ PC basis vectors along with the SB for the $(k-m)$-dimensional nearly null space-the space that is perpendicular to the first $m \mathrm{PC}$ basis vectors. We define the first SB vector as the simplest vector of length 1 in the nearly null space, that is, $\mathbf{w}_{1}$ maximizes $\mathbf{w}^{\prime} \mathbf{\Lambda w}$ over all vectors of length 1 that are perpendicular to the first $m$ PCA basis vectors. The second SB vector is the simplest vector of length 1 that is perpendicular to the first $m$ PCA basis vectors and to $\mathbf{w}_{1}$. The remaining SB vectors are defined similarly. The variance associated with the vector $\mathbf{w}$ is equal to $\mathbf{w}^{\prime} \mathbf{G w}$.

Again, the resulting set of $k-m$ SB vectors can be computed using an eigenanalysis, as follows. Let $P$ be the $k \times$ $(k-m)$ matrix with columns containing the last $k-m \mathrm{PC}$ basis vectors. Then the SB of the nearly null space is $\mathbf{w}_{1}=P \mathbf{u}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{w}_{k-m}=P \mathbf{u}_{k-m}$, where $\mathbf{u}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_{k-m}$ are the eigenvectors of $P^{\prime} \mathbf{\Lambda} P$. The simplicity scores of $P \mathbf{u}_{1}, \ldots, P \mathbf{u}_{k-m}$ are the eigenvalues of $P \boldsymbol{A} P$.
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